

## POLICE / PROSECUTOR

## **UPDATE**

Issue No. 220 March 2010

A recent case examines what constitutes an **investigatory stop**. Just before midnight, a police officer was patrolling in a marked car when he responded to a dispatch reporting a suspicious white vehicle with four males inside it parked in front of the caller's residence. The caller informed dispatch that she did not recognize the vehicle and was fearful. Upon arrival, the officer observed "a white four door vehicle with occupants inside" parked on the street. However, he could not see what the occupants were doing. He activated his emergency lights because "it was dark that night and he wanted to be visible to" other vehicles.

The officer approached the vehicle, observed heavy smoke inside, and knocked on the rear right passenger window. "A large amount of smoke came billowing out" as the window rolled down. The officer immediately recognized the smell of burnt marijuana. The officer had the occupants exit and read them their *Miranda* warnings. The officer observed in plain view a burnt marijuana cigarette and two bags of marijuana inside the vehicle.

The defendant claimed that the seizure of the marijuana was unconstitutional because the officer lacked reasonable suspicion to conduct an investigatory stop. Constitutional protection governs "seizures" of the person. Generally, whether a seizure has occurred turns on an evaluation, under all the circumstances. of whether a reasonable person would feel free to disregard the police and go about his or her business. A seizure does not occur, for example. "simply because a police officer approaches a person, ask questions, or requests identification. Instead, a person is seized when, considering all the surrounding circumstances, the police conduct would have communicated to a reasonable person that the person was not free to decline the officer's requests or otherwise terminate the encounter."

The defendant argued that the officer initiated an investigatory stop when he parked behind the defendant's vehicle and activated his emergency lights – this was significant show of authority that would convey to any reasonable person that he was not free to leave the officer's presence. The court disagreed. The officer received a dispatch late at night from a concerned citizen regarding a report of a suspicious vehicle. Arriving at the location, he observed a vehicle matching the description stopped and parked. He then proceeded to park his police vehicle and activate his emergency lights in order to alert other of his presence. He then approached and asked the occupants some questions or request their identification.

These are all procedures that an officer would be expected to do upon finding an occupied vehicle parked on the street late at night, and do not indicate to a reasonable motorist that the officer intends to detain him. In fact, given that the officer was investigating a concerned citizen's call at a late hour, he or any other officer would be negligent in not activating his emergency lights. Not doing so would put the officer at risk to approach a vehicle at night without first alerting the unknown occupants that he is a law enforcement officer.

While the officer did activate his emergency lights, he in no way blocked or hindered traffic or the defendant's vehicle, displayed no force, and no other officers were present. Therefore, the officer's contact with the defendant did not amount to a "seizure," and thus was not an investigatory stop.

It must be remembered that this type of case is very fact sensitive, and a change of even one fact could lead to a different result. R.H. v. State, 916 N.E.2d 260 (Ind. Ct. App. 2009).

This is a publication of the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney, covering various topics of interest to law enforcement officers. It is directed solely toward issues of evidence, criminal law and procedure. Please consult your city, town, or county attorney for legal advice relating to civil liability. Please direct any suggestions you may have for future issues to Steve Stewart at 285-6264.